



Power System Stability, Efficiency and Controllability Improvement using Facts Devices

Aakib Majeed¹, Bilal Ahmad², Yuvraj Singh³
Student^{1,2}, Assistant Professor³

Department of Electrical Engineering
Yogananda College of Engineering and Technology, Jammu, J&K, India

Abstract:

This paper presents a comprehensive review on the research and development in power system stability enhancement using FACTS devices. In past two decades power demand has increased substantially while expansion of power generation has been severely limited due to limited resources and environmental restrictions. Flexible AC transmission System or FACTS have been mainly used for solving various power system problems. FACTS are devices which allow flexible and dynamic control of power system. This paper is aimed towards benefits of using FACTS devices with the purpose of improving the operation of electric power system. It includes stability, controllability improvement of power system operation using FACTS devices.

Keywords: FACTS, SVC, TCSC, TCPS, STATCOM, SSSC, UPFC, IPFC.

I. INTRODUCTION

A flexible AC transmission system refers to system consisting of power electronic devices along with power system devices to enhance the controllability and stability of transmission system and increase power transfer capabilities. FACTS controllers offer opportunity to regulate the transmission of alternating current (AC), increasing or decreasing the power flow in specific lines and responding instantaneously to stability problems.

The potential of this technology is based on the possibility of controlling the route of power flow and ability to connect network that are not adequately interconnected giving the possibility of trading energy between distant agents. Basically the FACTS system is used to provide controllability of high voltage side of network by incorporating power electronic devices to introduce inductive or capacitive power in network. Flexible AC transmission systems (FACTS) have gained a great interest during last few years due to recent advancements in power electronics. FACTS devices have been mainly used for solving various power system steady state control problems such as voltage regulation, power flow control, transfer capability enhancement.

As a supplementary function enhancing power system stability using FACTS controllers have been extensively studied and investigated. Generally it is not cost efficient to install FACTS device for sole purpose of power system stability enhancement. In this paper current status of power system stability enhancement, efficiency and controllability using FACTS controllers is discussed and reviewed. This paper is organised as follows;

The overview of FACTS and development in present in section 2. Section 3 discusses potential of first generation of FACTS devices to enhance low frequency stability while potential of second generation of FACTS is discussed in section 4. In section 5 some issues related to installation of FACTS are discussed. In Section 6 the application of FACTS

controllers is summarised. In section 7 some benefits of FACTS controllers are reviewed. Some conclusion remarks of paper are highlighted in section 8.

II. FACTS DEVICES

Overview:

In 1980's the electrical power research institute (EPRI) formulated the vision of Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS) in which various power electronic based controllers regulate power flow and transmission voltage and mitigate dynamic disturbances. Generally the main objective of (FACTS) is to increase usable transmission capacity of lines and control power flow over designated transmission routes. Hingorani and Gyugyi [1] and Hingorani [2; 4] proposed the concept of FACTS.. Edris *et al.* [5] proposed terms and definitions for different FACTS controllers. There are two generations for realization of power electronics-based FACTS controllers: the first generation and second generation. The first generation employs conventional thyristor-switched capacitors and reactors, and quadrature tap-changing transformers, the second generation employs gate turn-off (GTO) thyristor-switched converters as voltage source converters (VSCs). The first generation has resulted in the Static Var Compensator (SVC), the Thyristor-Controlled Series Capacitor (TCSC), and the Thyristor-Controlled Phase Shifter (TCPS) [6;7]. The second generation has produced the Static Synchronous Compensator (STATCOM), the Static Synchronous Series Compensator (SSSC), the Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC), and the Interline Power Flow Controller (IPFC) [8–11]. The two groups of FACTS controllers have distinctly different operating and performance characteristics. The thyristor-controlled group employs capacitor and reactor banks with fast solid-state switches in traditional shunt or series circuit arrangements. The thyristor switches control the on and off periods of the fixed capacitor and reactor banks and thereby realize a variable reactive impedance. Except for losses, they cannot exchange real power with the system. The voltage source converter (VSC) type FACTS controller group employs self-commutated DC to AC

converters, using GTO thyristors, which can internally generate capacitive and inductive reactive power for transmission line compensation, without the use of capacitor or reactor banks. The converter with energy storage device can also exchange real power with the system, in addition to the independently controllable reactive power. The VSC can be used uniformly to control transmission line voltage, impedance, and angle by providing reactive shunt compensation, series compensation, and phase shifting, or to control directly the real and reactive power flow in the line [11].

III. FIRST GENERATION OF FACTS

3.1 Static VAR Compensator (SVC)

SVC is an electrical device for providing fast-acting reactive power compensation on high voltage electricity transmission networks. SVCs are part of the FACTS device family, regulating voltage and stabilizing the system the system. It is known that the SVCs with an auxiliary injection of a suitable signal can considerably improve the dynamic stability performance of a power system [12-18]. The term "static" refers to the fact that the SVC has no moving parts (other than circuit breakers and disconnects, which do not move under normal SVC operation). Genetic algorithms and fuzzy logic based approaches have been proposed for SVC control [19-25]. Prior to the invention of the SVC, power factor compensation was the preserve of large rotating machines such as synchronous condensers. The SVC is an automated impedance matching device, designed to bring the system closer to unity power factor. If the power system's reactive load is capacitive (leading), the SVC will use reactors (usually in the form of Thyristor-Controlled Reactors) to consume VARs from the system, lowering the system voltage. Under inductive (lagging) conditions, the capacitor banks are automatically switched in, thus providing a higher system voltage. It is observed that SVC controls can significantly influence nonlinear system behavior especially under high-stress operating conditions and increased SVC gains.

SVC can be used for,

- Oscillating Damping
- Sub synchronous Resonance (SSR)
- Reactive Power Support

3.2 Thyristor Controlled Series Capacitor (TCSC)

TCSC is used in power system to dynamically control the reactance of a transmission line in order to provide sufficient load compensation. The benefits of TCSC are seen in its ability to operate in different modes. These traits are very desirable since loads are constantly changing and cannot be predicted. A thyristor controlled series capacitor is composed of series capacitor which has parallel branch including a thyristor controlled reactor. TCSC is a series controlled capacitive reactance that can provide continuous control of power on AC line over a wide range. The function of TCSC can be comprehended by analyzing the behavior of variable inductor connected in series with fixed capacitor. TCSC operates in different modes depending on when the thyristor for inductive branch are triggered.

The modes of operation are:

- Blocking Mode: Thyristor valve is always off, opening inductive branch and effectively causing TCSC to operate.
- Bypass Mode: Thyristor valve is always on, causing TCSC to operate as capacitor and inductor in parallel reducing current through TCSC.

- Capacitive boost Mode; Forward voltage thyristor valve is triggered slightly before capacitor voltage crosses zero to allow current to flow through inductive branch adding to capacitive current.

3.3 Thyristor controlled phase shifter (TCPS)

The TCPS is one of the potential options of recently proposed FACTS devices. Researchers have developed different TCPS schemes in the literature [26-33]. Ise *et al.* [26] compared between two different TCPS schemes. Compared with other FACTS devices, little attention have been paid to TCPS modeling and control. The TCPS control problem has been investigated using linear control techniques [26-27]. Jiang *et al.* [34] proposed a TCPS control technique based on nonlinear variable structure control theory. In their control scheme the phase shift angle is determined as a nonlinear function of rotor angle and speed. However, in real-life power system with a large number of generators, the rotor angle of a single generator measured with respect to the system reference will not be very meaningful. Tan and Wang [35] proposed a direct feedback linearization technique to linearize and decouple the power system model to design the excitation and TCPS controllers.

IV. SECOND GENERATION OF FACTS

4.1 Static Compensator (STATCOM)

A STATCOM is a regulating device used on alternating current electricity transmission networks. It is based on a power electronics voltage-source converter and can act as either a source or sink of reactive AC power to an electricity network. If connected to a source of power it can also provide active AC power. Application of STATCOM for stability improvement has been discussed in the literature [36-46]. The effectiveness of the STATCOM to control the power system voltage was presented in [37]. Usually a STATCOM is installed to support electricity networks that have a poor power factor and often poor voltage regulation. There are however, other uses, the most common use is for voltage stability. From the power system dynamic stability viewpoint, the STATCOM provides better damping characteristics than the SVC as it is able to transiently exchange active power with the system. Every equipment has some limitations so STATCOM also have some limitations of supplying or absorbing reactive power. The limitations in STATCOM is imposed by current carrying capacity of force commutated device like GTO. Therefore if the operation of STATCOM reaches its limitation it does not further increase or decrease its output voltage rather it supplies or absorbs fixed reactive power equal to its limiting value at a fixed voltage and current and acts like constant current source. This mode of operation of STATCOM is called as VAR control mode.

4.2 Static Synchronous Series Compensator (SSSC)

The SSSC has been applied to different power system studies to improve the system performance [47-53]. There has been some work done to utilize the characteristics of the SSSC to enhance power system stability [54; 55]. Static Synchronous Series Compensator (SSSC) device work the same way as the STATCOM. It has a voltage source converter serially connected to a transmission line through a transformer. SSSC is able to exchange active and reactive power with the transmission system. But if our only aim is to balance the reactive power, the energy source could be quite small. The injected voltage can be controlled in phase and magnitude if we have an energy source that is big enough for the purpose.

With reactive power compensation only the voltage is controllable, because the voltage vector forms 90° degrees with the line intensity.

4.3 Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC)

The universal and most flexible FACTS device is the Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC). UPFC is the combination of three compensators' characteristic; i.e. impedance, voltage magnitude and phase angle, that are able to produce a more complete compensation. A UPFC consists of two voltage source converters; series and shunt converter, which are connected to each other with a common dc link. Series converter or Static Synchronous Series Compensator (SSSC) is used to add controlled voltage magnitude and phase angle in series with the line, while shunt converter or Static Synchronous compensator (STATCOM) is used to provide reactive power to the ac system, beside that, it will provide the dc power required for both inverter. Each of the branches consists of a transformer and power electronic converter. These two voltage source converters shared a common dc capacitor [56]. The three control parameters, i.e. the bus voltage, transmission line reactance, and phase angle between two buses can be controlled either simultaneously or independently. A UPFC performs this through the control of the in-phase voltage, quadrature voltage, and shunt compensation. The UPFC is the most versatile and complex power electronic equipment that has emerged for the control and optimization of power flow in electrical power transmission systems. The UPFC was devised for the real-time control and dynamic compensation of ac transmission systems, providing multifunctional flexibility required to solve many of the problems facing the power industry. Within the framework of traditional power transmission concepts, the UPFC is able to control, simultaneously or selectively, all the parameters affecting power flow in the transmission line. Alternatively, it can independently control both the real and reactive power flow in the line unlike all other controllers. A current injected UPFC model for improving power system dynamic performance was developed by Meng and So [57].

4.4 Interline Power Flow Controller (IPFC)

The latest generation of FACTS devices, namely the IPFC, is the combination of multiple series compensators, which are very effective in controlling power flows in transmission lines. IPFC and the generalized unified power flow controller are two innovative configurations of the convertible static compensator of FACTS. Gyugyi et al [58] presented an IPFC which is a new concept for the compensation and effective power flow management of multi-line transmission systems. Parimi et al [59] investigated the use of the IPFC based controller in damping of low frequency oscillations. IPFC has different applications equating active and reactive power flow through compensated transmission lines, transmitting power from over loaded lines to other lines, compensation of resistive voltage drops through lines and improving the performance of compensated system when dynamic disturbances occur are some applications of IPFC [60]. In general IPFC consists of N (the number of compensated lines by IPFC) voltage source inverters which have common dc link. In other words it can be said that IPFC consists of number of SSSC with common dc link. [60].

V. FACTS INSTALLATION ISSUES

For the maximum effectiveness of the controllers, the selection of installing locations and feedback signals of FACTS-based

stabilizers must be investigated. On the other hand, the robustness of the stabilizers to the variations of power system operation conditions is equally important factor.

5.1 Location and Feedback Signals

Generally, the location of FACTS devices depends on the objective of the installation. The optimal location can be governed by increasing system loadability [61–63], minimizing the total generation cost [64], and enhancing voltage stability [65]. Wang *et al.* [66] presented two indices for selecting the optimal location of PSSs or FACTS-based stabilizers. The first index was based on the residue method while the second index was based on damping torque analysis. This work has been further developed in [67] where a new method independent of the Eigen solution to identify the optimal locations and feedback signals of FACTS-based stabilizers was proposed. The new method avoids difficulty of Eigen solution and reduces the computation cost.

5.2 Coordination among Different Control Schemes

Mahran *et al.* [68] presented a coordinated PSS and SVC controller for a synchronous generator. However, the proposed approach uses recursive least squares identification which reduces its effectiveness for on-line applications. Various approaches for coordinated design of PSS and SVC are also presented in [69–71]. Abido and Abdel-Magid [72–74] presented coordinated design of control schemes for the excitation and different FACTS controllers. The coordination of multiple FACTS controllers in the same system as well as in the adjacent systems must be investigated extensively and implemented to ensure the security of power-system operation.

5.3 Performance Comparison

The relative efficacy of different FACTS controllers in enhancing of power system stability is investigated [75–79]. The capabilities of PSS, SVC-based stabilizer, TCSC-based stabilizer, and TCPS-based stabilizer to control the electromechanical mode over wide range of operating conditions were discussed in [80]. The UPFC is by far the best controller, as it provides independent control over the bus voltage and the line real and reactive power flows.

5.4 Application of FACTS

FACTS controllers can be used for various applications to enhance power system performance. One of the greatest advantages of using FACTS controllers is that it can be used in all the three states of the power system, namely:

- (1) Steady state,
- (2) Transient and
- (3) Post transient steady state.

5.5 STEADY STATE APPLICATION

Various steady state applications of FACTS controllers include voltage control (low and high), increase of thermal loading, post-contingency voltage control, loop flows control, reduction in short circuit level and power flow control. SVC and STATCOM can be used for voltage control while TCSC is more suited for loop flow control and for power flow control.

5.6 DYNAMIC APPLICATION

Dynamic application of FACTS controllers includes transient stability improvement, oscillation damping (dynamic stability) and voltage stability enhancement.

One of the most important capabilities expected of FACTS applications is to be able to reduce the impact of the primary disturbance. The impact reduction for contingencies can be

achieved through dynamic voltage support (STATCOM), dynamic flow control (TCSC) or both with the use of UPFC.

5.7 TRANSIENT STABILITY ENHANCEMENT

Transient instability is caused by large disturbances such as tripping of a major transmission line or a generator and the problem can be seen from the first swing of the angle. FACTS devices can resolve the problem by providing fast and rapid response during the first swing to control voltage and power flow in the system.

5.8 DYNAMIC VOLTAGE CONTROL

Shunt FACTS controllers like SVC and STATCOM as well as UPFC can be utilized for dynamic control of voltage during system contingency and save the system from voltage collapse and blackout.

5.9 Application In Deregulated Environment

Apart from its traditional application for voltage control, power flow control and enhancing steady state and dynamic limits, FACTS controllers are finding new applications in the present deregulated environment. One of the applications is in controlling the “parallel flow” or “loop flow”. Loop flow results in involuntary reduction in transmission capacity that may belong to some other utility and hence foreclose beneficial transactions through that line. FACTS devices can also be implemented to ensure the economy in operation by placing it in a suitable line such that least cost generators can be dispatched more. It can also be used to reduce the losses in the system. Yet, another application is to use FACTS to relieve the congestion in the system. FACTS devices can be strategically placed such that congestion cost is reduced, curtailment is decreased and price volatility due to congestion is minimized.

VI. BENEFITS

The benefits of utilizing FACTS devices in electrical transmission systems can be summarized as follows:

- Increasing the loadability of the system
- Power quality improvement
- Increase power transfer capability of a line
- Mitigate sub-synchronous resonance (SSR)
- Improve system transient stability limit
- Alleviate voltage stability
- Load compensation
- Limit short circuit currents
- Enhance system damping

VII. CONCLUSION

In this review, current status of power system stability enhancement using FACTS controllers is discussed. The overview of FACTS and different types of FACTS controllers and their ability to enhance system stability is also addressed. FACTS installation issues, location and feedback signal used for design of FACTS controllers is discussed. The coordination problem among different control schemes is considered. Performance comparison of different FACTS controllers is reviewed. A brief review of FACTS applications is also present.

VIII. REFERENCES

[1] N. G. Hingorani and L. Gyugyi, *Understanding FACTS: Concepts and Technology of Flexible AC Transmission Systems*. New York: IEEE Press, 2000.

[2] N. G. Hingorani, “FACTS-Flexible AC Transmission System”, *Proceedings of 5th International Conference on AC and DC Power Transmission-IEEE Conference Publication 345*, 1991, pp. 1–7.

[3] N. G. Hingorani, “Flexible AC Transmission”, *IEEE Spectrum*, April 1993, pp. 40–45.

[4] N. G. Hingorani, “High Power Electronics and Flexible AC Transmission System”, *IEEE Power Engineering Review*, July 1988.

[5] A. Edris et al., “Proposed Terms and Definitions for Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS)”, *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, 12(4)(1997), pp. 1848–1852.

[6] IEEE Power Engineering Society, *FACTS Overview*. IEEE Special Publication 95TP108, 1995.

[7] IEEE Power Engineering Society, *FACTS Applications*. IEEE Special Publication 96TP116-0, 1996.

[8] I. A. Erinmez and A. M. Foss, (eds.), *Static Synchronous Compensator (STATCOM)*. Working Group 14.19, CIGRE Study Committee 14, Document No. 144, August 1999.

[9] CIGRE Task Force 14-27, *Unified Power Flow Controller*. CIGRE Technical Brochure, 1998.

[10] R. M. Mathur and R. S. Basati, *Thyristor-Based FACTS Controllers for Electrical Transmission Systems*. IEEE Press Series in Power Engineering, 2002.

[11] Yong Hua Song and Allan T. Johns, *Flexible AC Transmission Systems (FACTS)*. London, UK: IEE Press, 1999.

[12] A. R. Messina, O. Begovich, and M. Nayebzadeh, “Analytical Investigation of the Use of Static VAR Compensators to Aid Damping of Interarea Oscillations”, *Electric Power Systems Research*, 51(1999), 199–210.

[13] A.R. Messina, J. Arroyo, N. Evaristo, and I. Castillo T, "Damping of low-frequency interarea oscillations using HVDC modulation and SVC voltage support," *Electric Power Components and Systems*, vol. 31, 389-402, 2003

[14] Eslami M., Shareef H., Mohamed A., Khajehzadeh M., Particle Swarm Optimization for Simultaneous Tuning of Static Var Compensator and Power System Stabilizer. *Przełqd Elektrotechniczny (Electr. Rev.)* 87(2011) No. 9a. 343-347.

[15] M. Parniani and M. R. Iravani, “Optimal Robust Control Design of Static VAR Compensators”, *IEE Proc. Genet. Transm. Distrib.*, 145(3) (1998), 301–307.

[16] S. Robak, Robust SVC controller design and analysis for uncertain power systems, *Control Engineering Practice*.2009.

[17] W. Gu, F. Milano, P. Jiang, and G. Tang, "Hopf bifurcations induced by SVC Controllers: A didactic example," *Electr. Power Sys. Res.*, vol. 77, 234-240, 2007.

[18] G. El-Saad, M. El-Sadek, M. Abo-El-Saud, “Fuzzy Adaptive Model Reference Approach-Based Power System

- Static VAR Stabilizer”, *Electr. Power Syst. Res.*, 45(1998), 1–11.
- [19] P. Ju, E. Handschin, and F. Reyer, “Genetic Algorithm Aided Controller Design with Application to SVC”, *IEE Proc. Genet. Transm. Distrib.*, **143**(3)(1996), pp. 258–262.
- [20] P. K. Dash, S. Mishra, and A. C. Liew, “Fuzzy-Logic Based VAR Stabilizer for Power System Control”, *IEE Proc. Genet. Transm. Distrib.*, **142**(6)(1995), pp. 618–624.
- [21] G. El-Saady, M. Z. El-Sadek, and M. Abo-El-Saud, “Fuzzy Adaptive Model Reference Approach-Based Power System Static VAR Stabilizer”, *Electric Power Systems Research*, **45**(1)(1998), pp. 1–11.
- [22] C. S. Chang and Y. Qizhi, “Fuzzy Bang–Bang Control of Static VAR Compensators for Damping System-Wide Low-Frequency Oscillations”, *Electric Power Systems Research*, **49**(1999), pp. 45–54.
- [23] Qun Gu, A. Pandey, and S. K. Starrett, “Fuzzy Logic Control for SVC Compensator to Control System Damping Using Global Signal”, *Electric Power Systems Research*, **67**(1)(2003), pp. 115–122.
- [24] K. L. Lo and M. O. Sadegh, “Systematic Method for the Design of a Full-scale Fuzzy PID Controller for SVC to Control Power System Stability”, *IEE Proc. Genet. Transm. Distrib.*, **150**(3)(2003), pp. 297–304.
- [25] J. Lu, M. H. Nehrir, and D. A. Pierre, “A Fuzzy Logic-Based Adaptive Damping Controller for Static VAR Compensator”, *Electric Power Systems Research*, **68**(1)(2004), pp. 113–118.
- [26] Ise T., Hayashi T., Ishii L., Kumagai S., Power system stabilizing control using high speed phase shifter, Proceedings of the Power Conversion, 1997, 735-740
- [27] P.L. So, D.C. MacDonald, Stabilization of inter-area modes by controllable phase shifter, IEEE AFRICON, 1996, 419 -424 [28] M.A. Abido, Thyristor controlled phase shifter based stabilizer design using simulated annealing algorithm, Electric Power Engineering, International Conference on Power Tech, Budapest, 1999, 307
- [29] L.T. Yoke and W. Youyi, Transient stability improvement of power systems using nonlinear excitation, phase shifter and adaptive control law, International Conference on Energy Management and Power Delivery, 1995, 468-473
- [30] A.A. Hashmani, W. Youyi and T. Lie, Design and application of a nonlinear coordinated excitation and TCPS controller in power systems, American Control Conference, 2001, 811-816
- [31] F. Jiang, S.S. Choi, G. shrestha, Power system stability enhancement using static phase shifter, IEEE Trans. Power Sys. 12(1997), 207 -214
- [32] Y. Wang, A. A. Hashmani, and T. T. Lie, "Nonlinear coordinated excitation and TCPS controller for multi machine power system transient stability enhancement," *IEE Proceedings: Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, vol. 148, 133-141, 2001.
- [33] A. Hashmani, Y. Wang, and T. T. Lie, "Enhancement of power system transient stability using a nonlinear coordinated excitation and TCPS controller," *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.*, vol. 24, 201-214, 2002.
- [34] F. Jiang, S. S. Choi, and G. Shrestha, “Power System Stability Enhancement Using Static Phase Shifter”, *IEEE Trans. PWRs*, **12**(1)(1997), pp. 207–214.
- [35] Y. L. Tan and Y. Wang, “Nonlinear Excitation and Phase Shifter Controller for Transient Stability Enhancement of Power Systems Using Adaptive Control Law”, *Int. J. Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, **18**(6)(1996), pp. 397–403
- [36] D. J. Hanson, M. L. Woodhouse, C. Horwill, D. R. Monkhouse, and M. M. Osborne, “STATCOM: A New Era of Reactive Compensation”, *Power Eng. J.*, 2002, 51–160.
- [37] H. Wang, H. Li, and H. Chen, “Application of Cell Immune Response Modelling to Power System Voltage Control by STATCOM”, *IEE Proc.-Gener. Transmi. Distib.*, 149(2002), 102–107.
- [38] M. A. Abido, “Analysis and Assessment of STATCOM-Based Damping Stabilizers for Power System Stability Enhancement”, *Electr. Power Syst. Res.*, 73 (2005), 177–185.
- [39] M. H. Haque, “Use of Energy Function to Evaluate the Additional damping Provided by a STATCOM”, *Electr. Power Syst. Res*, 2(2)(2004), 195–202.
- [40] H. F. Wang, “Phillips-Heffron Model of Power Systems Installed with STATCOM and Applications”, *IEE Proc.-Gener. Transmi. Distib.*, 146(5)(1999), 521–527.
- [41] K. R. Padiyar and V. S. Parkash, “Tuning and Performance Evaluation of Damping Controller for a STATCOM”, *Int. J. of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, 25(2003), 155–166.
- [42] L. Cong and Y. Wang, “Coordinated Control of Generator Excitation and STATCOM for Rotor Angle Stability and Voltage Regulation Enhancement of Power Systems”, *IEE Proc.-Gener. Transmi. Distib.*, 149(6)(2002), 659–666.
- [43] A. H. M. A. Rahim, S. A. Al-Baiyat, and H. M. Al-Maghrabi, “Robust Damping Controller Design for a Static Compensator”, *IEE Proc.-Gener. Transmi. Distib.*, 149(4)(2002), 491–496.
- [44] Y. S. Lee and S. Y. Sun, “STATCOM Controller Design for Power System Stabilization with Sub-optimal Control and Strip Pole Assignment”, *Int. J. of Electr. Power Energy Syst.*, 24(2002), 771–779.
- [45] N. C. Sahoo, B. K. Panigrahi, P. K. Dash, and G. Panda, “Multivariable Nonlinear Control of STATCOM for Synchronous Generator Stabilization”, *Int. J. of Electr. Power Energy Syst.*, 26(1)(2004), 37–48.
- [46] N. C. Sahoo, B. K. Panigrahi, P. K. Dash, and G. Panda, “Application of a Multivariable Feedback Linearization Scheme for STATCOM Control”, *Electr. Power Syst. Res*, 62(1)(2002), pp. 81–91.

- [47] R. Mihalic and I. Papic, "Static Synchronous Series Compensator – A Mean for Dynamic Power Flow Control in Electric Power Systems", *Electric Power Systems Research*, **45(1)**(1998), pp. 65–72.
- [48] Xiao-Ping Zhang, "Advanced modeling of the Multicontrol Functional Static Synchronous Series Compensator (SSSC) in Newton Power Flow", *IEEE Trans. on PWRs*, **18(4)**(2003), pp. 1410–1416.
- [49] I. Ngamroo and W. Kongprawechnon, "A Robust Controller Design of SSSC for Stabilization of Frequency Oscillations in Interconnected Power Systems", *Electric Power Systems Research*, **67(2)**(2003), pp. 161–176.
- [50] B. N. Singh, A. Chandra, K. Al-Haddad, and B. Singh, "Performance of Sliding Mode and Fuzzy Controllers for a Static Synchronous Series Compensator", *IEE Proc.-Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, **146(2)**(1999), pp. 200–206.
- [51] G. N. Pillai, A. Ghosh, and A. Joshi, "Torsional Interaction Between an SSSC and a PSS in a Series Compensated Power System", *IEE Proc.-Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, **149(6)**(2002), pp. 653–658.
- [52] G. N. Pillai, A. Ghosh, and A. Joshi, "Torsional Oscillation Studies in an SSSC Compensated Power System", *Electric Power Systems Research*, **55(1)**(2000), pp. 57–64.
- [53] L. Gyugyi, C. D. Schauder, and K. K. Sen, "Static Synchronous Series Compensator: A Solid State Approach to the Series Compensation of Transmission Lines", *IEEE Trans. on PWRD*, **12(1)**(1997), pp. 406–417. *M. A. Abido*
- [54] H. F. Wang, "Static Synchronous Series Compensation to damp power system oscillations", *Electric Power Systems Research*, **54(2)**(2000), pp. 113–119.
- [55] P. Kumkratug and M. H. Haque, "Improvement of Stability Region and damping of a Power System by Using SSSC", *Proceedings of IEEE Power Engineering Society General Meeting*, 13–17 July 2003, vol. 3, pp. 1417–1421
- [56] L. Xu and V.G. Agelidis, "Flying Capacitor Multilevel PWM Converter Based UPFC", *IEE Proc. Of Electronic Power Application*, Vol. 149, No. 4, July 2003. Page(s) 304-310.
- [57] Z.L. Meng and P.L. So, A current injection UPFC model for enhancing power system dynamic performance, *Power Engineering Society Winter Meeting*, 2000, 1544 -1549.
- [58] L. Gyugyi, K. K. Sen, and C. D. Schauder, "The interline power flow controller concept: A new approach to power flow management in transmission systems," *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 14, 1115-1122, 1999.
- [59] M. Parimi, I. Elamvazuthi, and N. Saad, "Interline power flow controller (IPFC) based damping controllers for damping low frequency oscillations in a power system," in *2008 IEEE International Conference on Sustainable Energy Technologies, ICSET 2008*, Singapore, 2008, pp. 334-339.
- [60] Chen J., Lie T.T., Vilathgamuwa D. M., Basic Control of Interline Power Flow Controller, *Power Engineering Society Winter Meeting, IEEE2002*, 1, p.521-525.
- [61] S. Gerbex, R. Cherkaoui, and A. J. Germond, "Optimal Location of Multi-Type FACTS Devices in a Power System by Means of Genetic Algorithms", *IEEE Trans. PWRs*, **16(3)**(2001), pp. 537–544.
- [62] J. Hao, L. B. Shi, and Ch. Chen, "Optimizing Location of Unified Power Flow Controllers by Means of Improved Evolutionary Programming", *IEE Proc. Genet. Transm. Distrib.*, **151(6)**(2004), pp. 705–712.
- [63] F. G. M. Lima, D. Galiana, I. Kockar, and J. Munoz, "Phase Shifter Placement in Large-Scale Systems via Mixed Integer Linear Programming", *IEEE Trans. PWRs*, **18(3)**(2003), pp. 1029–1034.
- [64] L. Ippolito and P. Siano, "Selection of Optimal Number and Location of Thyristor-Controlled Phase Shifters Using Genetic Algorithms", *IEE Proc. Genet. Transm. Distrib.*, **151(5)**(2004), pp. 630–637.
- [65] N. K. Sharma, A. Ghosh, and R. K. Varma, "A Novel Placement Strategy for FACTS Controllers", *IEEE Trans. PWRD*, **18(3)**(2003), pp. 982–987.
- [66] H. F. Wang, F. J. Swift, and M. Li, "Indices for Selecting the Best Location of PSSs or FACTS-Based Stabilizers in Multimachine Power Systems: A Comparative Study", *IEE Proc. Genet. Transm. Distrib.*, **144(2)**(1997), pp. 155–159.
- [67] H. F. Wang, "An Eigen solution Free Method of Reduced-Order Modal Analysis to Select the Installing Locations and Feedback Signals of FACTS-Based Stabilizers", *Int. Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, **21**(1999), pp. 547–554.
- [68] A. R. Mahran, B. W. Hogg, and M. L. El-Sayed, "Coordinated Control of Synchronous Generator Excitation and Static VAR Compensator", *IEEE Trans. Energy Conversion*, **7(4)**(1992), pp. 615–622.
- [69] Y. Wang, Y. Tan, and G. Guo, "Robust Nonlinear Coordinated Generator Excitation and SVC Control for Power Systems", *Int. J. Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, **22**(2000), pp. 187–195.
- [70] R. M. Hamouda, M. R. Iravani, and R. Hackam, "Coordinated Static VAR Compensators and Power System Stabilizers for Damping Power System Oscillations", *IEEE Trans. PWRs*, **2(4)**(1987), pp. 1059–1067.
- [71] C. H. Cheng and Y. Y. Hsu, "Application of a Power System Stabilizer and a Static VAR Controller to a Multimachine Power System", *IEE Proc. Pt C*, **137(1)**(1990), pp. 8–12.
- [72] M. A. Abido and Y. L. Abdel-Magid, "Coordinated Design of a PSS and an SVC-Based Controller to Enhance Power System Stability", *Int. Journal of Electrical Powers & Energy Systems*, **25(9)**(2003), pp. 695–704.
- [73] M. A. Abido and Y. L. Abdel-Magid, "Analysis of Power System Stability Enhancement via Excitation and FACTS-Based Stabilizers", *Electric Power Components & Systems*, **32(1)**(2004), pp. 75–91.
- [74] Y. L. Abdel-Magid and M. A. Abido, "Robust Coordinated Design of Excitation and TCSC-Based Stabilizers Using genetic algorithms", *Electric Power Systems Research*, **69(2-3)**(2004), pp. 129–141.

- [75] M. M. Farsangi, Y. H. Song, W. F. Fang, and X. F. Wang, "Robust FACTS Control Design Using the H_∞ Loop-Shaping Method", *IEE Proc. Genet. Transm. Distrib.*, **149(3)**(2002), pp. 352–358.
- [76] N. Mithulananthan, C. A. Canizares, J. Reeve, and G. J. Rogers, "Comparison of PSS, SVC, and STATCOM Controllers for Damping Power System Oscillations", *IEEE Trans. PWRD*, **18(2)**(2003), pp. 786–792.
- [77] P. K. Dash and S. Mishra, "Damping of Multimodal Power System Oscillations by FACTS Devices Using Non-Linear Takagi–Sugeno Fuzzy Controller", *Int. Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, **25**(2003), pp. 481–490.
- [78] M. M. Farsangi, Y. H. Song, and M. Tan, "Multi-Objective Design of Damping Controllers of FACTS Devices via Mixed H_2/H_∞ with Regional Pole Placement", *Int. Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, **25**(2003), pp. 339–346.
- [79] A. R. Messina, J. Arroyo, N. Evaristo, and I. Catillo, "Damping of Low-frequency Interarea Oscillations Using HVDC Modulation and SVC Voltage Support", *Electric Power Components and Systems*, **31(2)**(2003), pp. 389–402.
- [80] M. A. Abido and Y. L. Abdel-Magid, "Analysis and Design of Power System Stabilizers and FACTS Based Stabilizers Using Genetic Algorithms", *Proceedings of the 14th Power System Computation Conference PSCC- 2002, Spain*, June 24-28, 2002, Paper # 360.