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Abstract:
A chatbot is a humanlike conversational character. It is a computer program which conducts a conversation through auditory or textual methods. It often acts as a virtual assistant and it can have its own virtualization. Its conversational skills and other humanlike behavior is simulated through artificial intelligence. Nowadays chat-oriented dialogue systems are gaining popularity as they attempt to get into daily life and achieve some commercial success. There are different kinds of chat-bots with the aim of realizing natural human-computer interaction. As the chat-bots use various features, much handwork is needed to build the feature data. We expect that through the years every conversational chatbot will grow into a real virtual human. This paper gives the survey on previous work done on chatbot and describes the example based chat-oriented dialogue system using personalized long term memory.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recently chat-oriented dialogue systems have gotten common as they commit to get into everyday life and bring home the become some business success, the most purpose of chatting systems is simulating the spoken language of men [2]. now of read can been stemmed from Turing take a look at, as several alternative dialogue systems do. Chatting systems area unit expected to reply to any user utterances in natural method, to fulfill the requirements, it's necessary to method numerous user inputs. Also, to create users desire, they are act with human, personalization methodology are often adopted to the chatting system. Most well-known previous chat-bots were rule-based systems, that retrieve example sentences by applying easy pattern matching technique. ELIZA [3] and ALICE [4] area unit those ones of the renowned systems. Rule-based chatting systems attempt to keep voice communication going where as avoiding inappropriate responses, although they can't find any matched pattern to the user-input. However, because the chatbots use numerous options, abundant piece of work is additionally required to make the feature information. To bring out most potential of those current systems, most of the heuristic rules ought to be refined fine with language skilled data. to deal with these limitations of previous ap- proaches, we tend to try and adopt example-based strategies for chatting dialogue system development. the essential plan of example-based dialogue management (EBDM) is that a system uses dialogue examples that area unit semantically indexed to a info, rather than rules or probabilistic models. EBDM framework is applied to each chat and task-oriented dialogues [5]. Also, to create rapport with users, we tend to adopt personalized methodology to chatting system. Personalization has been researched within the space of knowledge retrieval and recommendation as personalized search [6], [7] or personalised recommendation systems [8], [9].

II. BACKGROUND

Jeesoo Bang, Hyungjong Noh, Gary Geunbae Lee and Yonghee Kim’s [1] introduces a chat-oriented dialogue system. This system is example based system with personalization framework using long-term memory. Previous chat-bots use simple keyword and pattern matching methods. For generating number of heuristic rules, language expert knowledge is necessary. These rules are used to maintain the quality of systems. Jia’s[10], CSIEC adopts linguistic communication terminology as customary format of rules. It additionally uses sizeable amount of linguistic options metaphysic like Chatscript. These recently developed chat-bots have powerful options and large potential to draw in the interest of users and manage the speech communication. This Chatbot focuses on supplying a virtual chatbot, which can chat in English with the users anytime. It provides response according to the user input, the user’s and its own personality knowledge, common sense, Kim, J. Bang, J. Choi, S. Ryu, and G. G. Lee’s[11] This system collects user-related facts automatically from user input sentences and stores the facts in memory. If there are changes in users interest it also keeps track of them. Chatscript [12], a chat-bot engine that's primarily the same as ALICE, suggests additional subtle options. It uses additional difficult pattern matching technique and metaphysics for understanding various intentions and topics. All of the extracted data is used once the system generates the system response.

III. SUMMARY

A. Methods:
Jeesoo Bang et al. proposes following methods[1] which are used for implementations of chatting systems:

Example-based Chat-oriented Dialogue System
1. In this section, there is tendency to propose an example-based chatting system. The system is meant to take care of coverage for matching user vocalization to the corresponding example with considerably reduced human annotation value and restricted corpus.

2. To our functions, EBDM is useful to overcome the the chat-bot drawback. The EBDM methodology was originally prompt for task-oriented dialogue management, its benefits will be used for chat-bot framework too. One of blessings of the EBDM is
that serious human labour isn’t needed to make the system. The EBDM solely has to label dialogue act (DA) and named entity (NE) which will be annotated with none domain skilled data, and main action (MA) that needs domain skilled data.

2. Using Dialogue Acts and POS-tagged Tokens:

The DA and POS-tagged tokens are used to find the corresponding examples to a user utterance. The Dialogue Acts can be annotated manually. The DA classifier can be used for labelling training corpus as well as for predicting DA of a user utterance in execution phase. The DA types and their example can be shown as per below table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DA type</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yn-q</td>
<td>Do you like apple?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wh-q</td>
<td>What is your name?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>choice-q</td>
<td>Do you choose pizza or burger?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feedback-p</td>
<td>Alright, I see.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feedback-n</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>request</td>
<td>Please give me a pen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suggest</td>
<td>Would you like to share food?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>statement</td>
<td>My work is done.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The POS-tagged tokens can be acquired automatically using a POS-tagger. We need to install pos-tagger in the system. A user auditory communication is labelled by the POS-tagger, and every token is weighted and chosen by the POS-tagger; we have a tendency to set POS priority with heuristic linguistic data to think about the various importance between POS-tags, as an example, nouns and verbs area unit additional necessary than prepositions and determinations for expressing the which means of the auditory communication. Also, we have a tendency to classified POS-tags into 2 categories loosely, higher priority category and lower priority category. For example, the vocalization “Do you like an apple?” is labelled as “do/VB you/PRP like/VB apple/NN”, and therefore the elite tokens are expressed like “do/VB/1.5 you/PRP/1.2 like/VB/1.5 ap- ple/NN/1.5”.

3. Long-term Memory and Knowledge Extractor:

Previous personalization framework is used for example-based chatting system. Because the personalized information extracted from user’s input is stored in long term memory and used for system response generation. It has tendency to decision our personalized memory a remembering, as a result of the personalised data extracted from user input auditory communication is keep to the remembering, and is employed for system response generation.

B. Experimental Results & Discussion:

Jeesoo Bang et al have built three versions of proposed system 1) The system that does not use proposed policies (The system finds similar examples by only using simple lexical similarity). (S-None)

2) The system that only adopts utterance matching policy with POS-tagged tokens. (S-POS)

3) The system that only adopts NE-related features. (S-NE)

4) The system that adopts back-off responses according to dialogue acts as well as utterance matching policy and NE-related features. (S-ALL).

Authors designed overall experiments in order to check the efficacy of new concept they have used which is example based chatting system. Experiments specialize in supportive 2 aspects: 1) the way to find similar sentences to the user auditory communication with projected options, and 2) the way to generate the correct response where as maintaining competitive performance to previous chatbot systems, like ALICE. Experiments include a baseline system which investigates the utterance pattern matching policy and compared S-POS, S-NE, and S-ALL to the baseline. The baseline system and the proposed systems generate responses for the user utterances and average ratings are calculated. Ratings according to input types and various systems including ALICE is shown in below table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input types</th>
<th>Alice</th>
<th>S-None</th>
<th>S-POS</th>
<th>S-NE</th>
<th>S-ALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>3.438</td>
<td>3.129</td>
<td>3.250</td>
<td>3.200</td>
<td>3.754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rephrased</td>
<td>3.475</td>
<td>3.650</td>
<td>3.763</td>
<td>3.513</td>
<td>4.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free utterance</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>3.088</td>
<td>3.338</td>
<td>3.200</td>
<td>3.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE- included</td>
<td>3.100</td>
<td>2.650</td>
<td>2.650</td>
<td>2.950</td>
<td>3.400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After experiments, result concludes that S-All is more efficient than others. S-All shows the high performance for every participants with proposed features according to ratings. To analyze the effect of each feature to various types of user inputs in detail and compare to the ALICE system, average ratings were calculated by combinations of the processed test sets and systems. Moreover, S-POS and S-NE also present higher performances than baseline although they are not significant when each feature is applied separately. So, instead of using S-POS or S-NE only combination of all make chat system more efficient and pattern matching will give correct result.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a new chat-bot framework that’s originated from EBDM, a task orientated dialogue system is introduced. EBDM is comparatively freed from manual labelling and annotation. The experimental results verify that the proposed features are useful for improving the performance of the system. Even though each feature alone cannot improve the performance significantly hence future development to this chatbot will have inclusion of S-All system and our projected chat-bot has hereditary the benefits. To enhance performance whereas minimizing the human efforts. Development to already exists chatbots, future work includes to use 3 features: POS-tagged token matching, mistreatment NE-related info, mistreatment back-off responses in keeping with prosecutor sort. we have a tendency to expect that POS-tagged token and NE info facilitate extending the scope of user input patterns and choosing additional applicable responses. The aim of chatbot designers is to build tools that help people, facilitate their work and their interaction with computers using natural
language, but not imitate the human conversation perfectly i.e. not to replace human role completely. The information user require he will get anywhere and anytime using upcoming chatbot.
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